

Maryland Faces Chronic Inundation

In Maryland and all along the US coastline, many cities and towns will experience high-tide flooding within the next few decades that will be chronic and extensive enough to force difficult choices. Because this persistent flooding can render neighborhoods, commercial districts, industrial zones, and recreational and other areas unusable, communities will face either major coastal defense investments or the prospect of retreat from affected places. The Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS) has identified hundreds of US communities at risk of this disruptive flooding as well as how much time remains before the flooding becomes chronic. UCS also recommends how to use this time wisely.

“Chronic Inundation”

UCS analyzed the exposure of coastal communities to chronic flooding under three different sea level rise scenarios developed for the 2014 National Climate Assessment: intermediate-low (“low”), intermediate-high (“intermediate”),


and highest (“high”) (see www.ucsusa.org/RisingSeasHitHome for detailed information).

This analysis assumes that a community (defined as a US Census county subdivision) faces “chronic inundation” when high tide floods 10 percent or more of its usable, non-wetland area at least 26 times per year or, on average, every other week. Some cities, such as Annapolis, Maryland, and Miami Beach, Florida, currently experience flooding less extensive than this but are already investing heavily to cope with it.

Twelve of the 91 communities that meet our threshold for chronic inundation today are located along Maryland’s Eastern Shore, due to a combination of rising seas and sinking land; Maryland ranks behind only Louisiana on the list of states with the most communities currently affected. Current chronic inundation of about 15 percent of Smith Island, for example, has already driven transformative changes.

UCS has identified 22 Maryland communities that will face such chronic inundation by 2035 and a further 17 by 2100, given the intermediate sea level rise scenario. Like most of the

FIGURE 1. Maryland Communities Facing Chronic Inundation in The Intermediate Scenario. For Maryland’s Eastern Shore, sinking land and rising seas will force increasingly difficult choices in the near term. In Ocean City and 19 other communities, half or more of currently usable land would be chronically inundated by 2100. On the Western Shore, cities like Annapolis and Baltimore do not reach the 10 percent inundation threshold at any point in this century, yet chronic flooding of much smaller areas is driving action and investment even today.



Maryland communities already grappling with disruptive flooding, many communities that would be newly exposed to chronic inundation by 2035 are clustered on the Eastern Shore. Because of the region's low elevation, some communities would see chronically inundated areas grow rapidly. For example, in the intermediate scenario, Crisfield faces chronic flooding of more than half its land area within roughly 20 years. In the high scenario, the number of Maryland communities affected by century's end would grow to 51 and the chronically inundated areas within communities continue to grow. Many of these communities are home to people who have limited resources to move or adapt. For a list of all inundated communities in Maryland, visit www.ucsusa.org/RisingSeasStateData.

A Chance for Some Maryland Communities to Avoid Chronic Inundation

UCS used the low scenario as a proxy for sea level rise associated with a warming of about 1.8°C and found that curtailing future warming and sea level rise could spare four or more Maryland communities from chronic inundation by 2060 and nine to 31 communities from chronic inundation by the end of the century. The Paris Climate Agreement, ratified by most countries in November 2016 (although the Trump administration has announced US withdrawal), aims to limit future warming to 2°C or less above preindustrial levels through large-scale reductions in global warming emissions.

Response Time: How to Use It Wisely

The limited time before chronic inundation sets in must be used to plan and prepare using a science-based approach that helps communities understand their risks, assess their choices, and implement adaptation plans while prioritizing equitable outcomes. Three categories of policy response are critical:

- **Halting or phasing out current policies that perpetuate risky coastal development.** We need to update flood risk maps using the latest climate science, limit development in flood-prone areas, safeguard flood-protective natural ecosystems, reform flood insurance premiums, and update building codes and infrastructure plans to reflect the latest projections of sea level rise.

- **Enhancing existing policy frameworks.** Current disaster response and predisaster investments—including FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, predisaster mitigation grants, Flood Mitigation Assistance, and the Public and Individual Assistance Programs—must be adequately funded and must also take account of climate projections and emphasize advance actions to limit the impacts of flooding. We need to preserve existing budgets and increase investment in flood-risk mapping and flood-proofing measures, protection of natural ecosystems, large-scale home buyout programs, and implementation of robust flood-risk management standards and building codes. Other agencies that play important roles in our nation's flood response (e.g., HUD, USACE, USDA, DOI, and DOT) must also be adequately resourced.

- **Creating bold new policies and measures adequate for the scale of coastal risks.** Pioneering, well-funded programs will be needed to assist, for example, with retreat and relocation from chronically inundated areas. New economic opportunities and infrastructure investments will be required in the safer locations to which people and businesses relocate. Policies must be designed to preserve natural ecosystems and cherished aspects of cultural heritage. And innovative governance models that enable effective decisionmaking amidst challenging tradeoffs will also be essential.

Coordinated action by households, local and state leadership, and businesses is required. Federal resources and policymakers' decisions will help determine whether coastal communities are resilient and continue to thrive. And even as the Trump administration seeks to withdraw from the Paris Agreement, we must work at state and local levels and with other nations to cut global warming emissions aggressively in order to help slow the pace of sea level rise.

NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS

Two Brattle Square
Cambridge, MA 02138-3780
Phone: (617) 547-5552
Fax: (617) 864-9405

WASHINGTON, DC, OFFICE

1825 K St. NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006-1232
Phone: (202) 223-6133
Fax: (202) 223-6162

WEST COAST OFFICE

500 12th St., Suite 340
Oakland, CA 94607-4087
Phone: (510) 843-1872
Fax: (510) 451-3785

MIDWEST OFFICE

One N. LaSalle St., Suite 1904
Chicago, IL 60602-4064
Phone: (312) 578-1750
Fax: (312) 578-1751